



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/604,944	06/27/2000	Marco A. DeMello	MSFT-0127/73297.3	5209
7590 05/25/2004		EXAMINER		
Peter M Ullman			GURSHMAN, GRIGORY	
Woodcock Was	shburn Kurtz			
Mackiewicz & Norris LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
One Liberty Place 46th Floor			2132	10
Philadelphia, PA 19103			DATE MAILED: 05/25/2004	, 12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/604,944	DEMELLO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Grigory Gurshman	2132			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address			
THE - External afternal filternal fi	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply 0 period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  /s will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ag					
- '-	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
3)						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	tion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-64 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-4, 6-64 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicat	tion Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.				
10)	))☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)[7]	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
,		arriller. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF TOTAL			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	r (PTO-413)			
2)  Notion  Notion  Notion  Notion	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D				

Application/Control Number: 09/604,944 Page 2

Art Unit: 2132

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed on 4/23/04 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. Applicant needs to submit the Form PTO-1449.

## Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant points out that the cover sheet of the previous Office Action indicates that claims 12,13 and 64 have been objected to. This was indicated in error and there were no objection to the instant claims in the text of the Office Action.
- 3. Applicant 's arguments with regards to the indefinite nature of the claims 13 and 64 are persuasive. The rejections of claims 13 and 64 under 35 USC § 112 are withdrawn.
- 4. Applicant's amendment of the independent claim 1 reflects " transmitting a web page comprising HTTP request...". Applicant states that this limitation is not taught by Levergood. Examiner respectfully disagrees and points out that this feature is explicitly taught in Levergood in col 6, line 23-24. Examiner points out that the client browser can only receive a request in a form of a web page.
- 5. Referring to claim 12, Applicant argues that Levergood does not teach or suggest how the secret is shared between the devices. Examiner points out that sharing the key is an inherent feature for exchanging encrypted information between the devices.

Art Unit: 2132

Further more Levergood does explicitly teach sharing secret key between the authentication and content servers (see col. 5).

6. Referring to claims 24, 33, 44, Applicant points out the differences between claimed invention and teachings of Levergood. Examiner points out that while the technical differences may exist, they are not adequately reflected in the claims.

Examiner also points out that the broad but reasonable interpretation of the claim language is applied.

7. Referring to claim 54, Applican points out that Levergood does not explicitly teach that users are buying the documents. Examiner agrees, but points out that it would be inherent to have a purchase transaction of the content validated before initiating the transaction of information.

#### **Drawings**

8. The formal drawings filed with the application are approved by examiner.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless-

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 3

10. Claims 1-4, 6-9, 11-27, 30-50, 52-54, 56-61, 63-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.102(b) as being anticipated by Levergood et al. (US Patent 5,708,780; "Levergood" hereinafter).

Regarding claim 1, Levergood discloses a method of communicating with a first computing device, said method comprising the acts of:

- encrypting information (SID) destined for said first computing device (col 5, line
   54-60; content server is the first computing device);
- creating an HTTP request which includes an address of said first device and the encrypted information (col 6, line 20-22 http://content.com/[SID]/report);
- transmitting a web page comprising the HTTP request to a second computing
  device different from said first computing device (col 6, line 23-24; client browser is the
  second computing device, content server is the first computing device).

Regarding claim 2, Levergood discloses HTTP request comprises a POST request, and wherein said encrypted information is included in the body of said POST request (col 7, line 7-11).

Regarding claim 3, Levergood discloses HTTP request comprises a GET request, and wherein said encrypted information is appended to said GET request as a parameter (col 5, line 32-33; col 5, line 53-54).

Regarding claim 4, Levergood discloses said information is encrypted using a symmetric key (col 5, line 64 secret key).

Regarding claim 5, Levergood discloses the act of creating a web page which includes a hyperlink associated with said HTTP request, wherein said transmitting act

comprises transmitting said web page to said second computing device (col 6, line 2324).

Regarding claim 6, Levergood discloses the second computing device is associated with a purchaser of content (col 8, line 61-62), wherein said first computing device provides said content (col 5, line 40-41), and wherein the encrypted information includes information relating to the purchase of said content (col 5, line 54-60).

Regarding claim 7, Levergood discloses the encrypted information includes information which identifies said purchaser (col 5, line 60 user identifier).

Regarding claim 8, Levergood discloses the encrypted information includes a timestamp (col 5, line 57 expiration date).

Regarding claim 9, Levergood discloses acts of computing a hash of said information prior to encryption; and including said hash in said HTTP request (col 5, line 62).

Regarding claim 11, Levergood discloses a computer readable medium having computer-executable instructions to perform the method of claim 1 (col 4, line 24-28).

Regarding claim 12, Levergood discloses a method of communicating with a first computing device through a second computing device, said method comprising the acts of:

- encrypting information such that the encrypted information is decryptable by a secret (col 6, line 8; SID);
- transmitting the encrypted information to said second computing device, said
   encrypted information being transmittable to said first computing device upon instruction

Art Unit: 2132

from a user operating said second computing device, wherein said secret is not accessible to either said second computing device or said user (col 3, line 11-20; first computing device is content server, second computing device is client browser);

• sharing said secret by performing either of the following acts: providing said secret to said first computing device or to a party associated with said first computing device; or receiving said secret from said first computing device or from a party associated with said first computing device (col 5, line 64-65).

Regarding claim 13, Levergood discloses first computing device is associated with a purchaser of content (col 8, line 61-63), and wherein said second computing device provides said content (col 3, line 11-16).

Regarding claim 14, Levergood discloses the encrypted information includes information relating to the purchase of said content (col 5, line 54-61)

Regarding claim 15, Levergood discloses transmitting the encrypted information over a wide-area network (col 3, line 12).

Regarding claim 16, Levergood discloses wide-area network comprises the Internet (col 8, line 63).

Regarding claim 17, Levergood discloses transmitting act comprises transmitting to said second computing device an HTTP request which includes an address of said first computing device and the encrypted information (col 3, line 11-15).

Regarding claim 18, Levergood discloses HTTP request comprises a POST request, and wherein said encrypted information is included in the body of said POST request (col 7, line 7-11).

Art Unit: 2132

Regarding claim 19, Levergood discloses HTTP request comprises a GET request, and wherein said encrypted information is appended to said GET request as a parameter (col 5, line 32-33; col 5, line 53-54).

Regarding claim 20, Levergood discloses the act of creating a web page which includes a link associated with said HTTP request, wherein said transmitting act comprising transmitting said web page to said second computing device, and wherein the user instruction to transmit the encrypted information to said first computing device comprises the user using an input device associated with said second computing device to actuate said link (col 9, line 13-20).

Regarding claim 21, Levergood discloses encrypting said information with said symmetric key (col 5, line 64 secret key).

Regarding claim 22, Levergood discloses including a timestamp in the encrypted information (col 3, line 34).

Regarding claim 23, Levergood discloses appending a hash of said information to said encrypted information, said hash being computed prior to encryption of said information (col 3, line 37).

Regarding claim 24, Levergood discloses a method of facilitating electronic content distribution comprising the acts of:

providing, to a first party for use on a first computing device, a first set of
computer-executable instructions which encrypts information based on a unique id that
maps into a shared secret, the encrypted information being includable in an HTTP
 request which includes a network address of a second computing device (col 5, line 54-

65; first computing device is authentication server, second computing device is content server);

• providing, to a second party for use on said second computing device, a second set of computer-executable instructions which decrypts the encrypted information (col 6, line 21-26).

Regarding claim 25, Levergood discloses said first party comprises a seller of electronic content (col 8, line 61-62, the user may purchase the subscription to gain access to document), wherein said second party comprises a provider of electronic content sold by said first party (content server provides information), and wherein said encrypted information relates to a transaction between said first party and a consumer of electronic content (SID)

Regarding claim 26, Levergood discloses HTTP request comprises a POST request, and wherein said encrypted information is included in the body of said POST request (col 7, line 7-11).

Regarding claim 27, Levergood discloses HTTP request comprises a GET request, and wherein said encrypted information is appended to said GET request as a parameter (col 5, line 32-33; col 5, line 53-54).

Regarding claim 30, Levergood discloses said secret symmetric key to encrypt said information (col 5, line 64).

Regarding claim 31, Levergood discloses wherein said information includes information identifying an item of content, which said second computing device provides (col 3, line 59-60).

Art Unit: 2132

Regarding claim 32, Levergood discloses wherein said information includes information identifying a purchaser of an item of content (col col 5, line 60).

Regarding claim 33, Levergood discloses a method of building a client-server request, said method comprising the acts of:

- encrypting first information so as to be decryptable by a secret accessible to a first server (col 3, line 12-16; the first server is the content server);
- including an address associated with said first server in said client-server request
   (col 3, line 12-16);
- including the encrypted information in said client-server request (col 3, line 12-16; col 7, line 21-34).

Regarding claim 34, Levergood discloses wherein the encrypted information includes information relating to a transaction to purchase a content item, wherein said first server furthers at least some aspect of said transaction (col 8, line 31-33).

Regarding claim 35, Levergood discloses wherein the encrypted information includes information which identifies a purchaser of said content item (col 8, line 2).

Regarding claim 36, Levergood discloses wherein the encrypted information includes information which identifies said content item (col 8, line 3).

Regarding claim 37, Levergood discloses wherein the encrypted information includes a timestamp (col 8, line 3).

Regarding claim 38, Levergood discloses wherein said first server provides said content item (col 8, line 11-13; Fig 3, Item10).

Regarding claim 39, Levergood discloses then encrypted information is generated by encrypting cleartext information with said symmetric key (col 5, line 64).

Regarding claim 40, Levergood discloses client-server request comprises an HTTP request (col 7, line 24-34).

Regarding claim 41, Levergood discloses wherein said HTTP request comprises a POST request, and wherein the encrypted information is included in the body of said POST request (col 7, line 25-27).

Regarding claim 42, Levergood discloses wherein said HTTP request comprises a GET request, and wherein the encrypted information is appended to said GET request as a parameter (col 7, line 29-34).

Regarding claim 43, Levergood discloses a computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions to perform the method of claim 33 (col 4, line 26).

Regarding claim 44, Levergood discloses a method of distributing electronic content, said method comprising the acts of:

- receiving, at a first computing device (authentication server) from a second computing device (client browser), an order for a content item (col 8, line 61-63);
- providing, from said first: computing device to said second computing device,
   data comprising: a network address of a third computing device (content server); and
   encrypted information (SID); wherein said third computing device processes said order
   by using at least some of said encrypted information (col 5, line 4749).

Art Unit: 2132

Regarding claim 45, Levergood discloses wherein said data comprises an HTTP POST request, and wherein said encrypted information is included in the body of said POST request (col 7, line 7-11).

Regarding claim 46, Levergood discloses wherein said data comprises an HTTP GET request (col 5, line 32-33; col 5, line 53-54).

Regarding claim 47, Levergood discloses wherein said encrypted information includes information identifying said content item (col 5, line 54-61).

Regarding claim 48, Levergood discloses wherein said encrypted information includes information identifying the individual who issued said order for said content item (col 5, line 59-60).

Regarding claim 49, Levergood discloses wherein said encrypted information includes a timestamp (col 5, line 57).

Regarding claim 50, Levergood discloses wherein said data further comprises a hash of said encrypted information, said hash being computed prior to encryption of said information (col 5, line 62).

Regarding claim 52, Levergood discloses wherein said content item does not reside on said first computing device (col 5, line 59).

Regarding claim 53, Levergood discloses a computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions to perform the method of claim 44 (col 4, line 24-28).

Regarding claim 54, Levergood discloses a computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions for performing steps comprising:

• receiving parameters that identify characteristics of a first transaction between a first client and a first server (col 5, line 49, the parameter is SID, first client is client browser, first server is authentication server);

- encrypting one or more of said parameters (col 5, line 64, encrypted with a secret key which is shared by the authentication and content servers);
- returning said encrypted parameters to said first client in a format such that a second server may receive said encrypted parameters from said first client (col 3, line 16-20), validate said first transaction, and initiate a second transaction without any interaction with said first server (Fig 2A, Item 100 Get URL through Item 106, second server is content server).

Regarding claim 56, Levergood discloses wherein said first transaction relates to the sale of electronic content (col 8, line 62).

Regarding claim 57, Levergood discloses wherein said second transaction comprises downloading ;said electronic content from said second server to said first client (Fig 2A, Item Browser/Display).

Regarding claim 58, Levergood discloses wherein said parameters comprise end-use information that enables the individualization of said electronic content (col 5, line 59, a set of information file).

Regarding claim 59, Levergood discloses wherein said parameters include one or more of the following: information identifying a party to said first transaction, and information identifying an item purchased in said first transaction (col 5, line 54-61, user identifier).

Application/Control Number: 09/604,944 Page 13

Art Unit: 2132

Regarding claim 60, Levergood discloses containing a timestamp in encrypted parameter (col 5, line 57)

Regarding claim 61, Levergood discloses wherein said steps further comprise computing a hash of at least some of said encrypted parameters (col 5, line 62, hash).

Regarding claim 63, Levergood discloses wherein said encrypting act comprises applying a secret symmetric key shared between said first server and said second server (col 5, line 64-65)

Regarding claim 64, Levergood discloses wherein said format comprises an HTTP request including an address of said first server (col 5, line 54 <a href="http://content.com/[SID]/report">http://content.com/[SID]/report</a>).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 28-29, 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levergood et al. (US Patent 5,708,780, "Levergood" hereinafter) in view of Barnes

et al. (US Patent 5,970,475, "Barnes" hereinafter).

Regarding claims 28-29, 55, Levergood discloses computer-executable instructions which encrypts information. Levergood fails to teach using COM object to perform the job. Barnes teaches the EC system core functionality is implemented using many Microsoft's component object model (COM) for the purpose of providing a specific function role and providing a function interface that can be accessed by other COM objects or COM-enabled processes (col 10, line 51-56). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention was made to implement computer-executable instructions as COM object.

13. Claims 10, 51, 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levergood et al. (US Patent 5,708,780, "Levergood" hereinafter) in view of Eberhard et al. (US Pub. 200110011238 A1, "Eberhard" hereinafter).

Regarding claims 10, 51, 62, Levergood discloses hashing the encrypted information. Levergood fails to teach hashing the encrypted information using an SHA1 algorithm. Eberhard teaches hashing parameters by using a SHA1 algorithm to calculate a hash for a title file downloaded from the publisher's server for the purpose of maintaining integrity, doing comparison before the purchased title being downloaded (page 4, block 40-42). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention was made to use SHA1 algorithm to maintain data integrity.

Application/Control Number: 09/604,944 Page 15

Art Unit: 2132

### Conclusion

14. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Grigory Gurshman whose telephone number is (703) 306-2900. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (703) 305-1830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2132

Page 16

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

(بال

GG

Grigory Gurshman Examiner Art Unit 2132

GILBERTO BARRON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100